



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/869,872	06/02/97	BROWNELL		С	13237-1560
_		LM71/1229	\neg	EXAMINER	
JONES AND ASKEW			· ••*	CAUDLE	, P
37TH FLOOR 191 PEACHTREE STREET NE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA GA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2765	
				DATE MAILED:	12/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	08/869,872	BROWNELL ET AL.	
, lavidery , letter.	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Penny Caudle	2765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 December 1999</u> FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either a for for allowance or a Notice of Appeal. Alternatively, application (CPA) under 37 CF	void abandonment of this applic timely filed amendment which pl cant may obtain further examinal R 1.53(d).	ation. A proper reply to a aces the application in condition	
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check only a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the proposed reply (within two reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR converse whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period mailing date of the final rejection. 	o months as set forth in MPEP § 707.07 (final rejection,	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 (a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked.	n and the corresponding amount of the fee	The appropriate extension fee under 37	
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37CFF) 	•		
 The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon with requisite fees. 	the timely submission of a Notice	ce of Appeal and Appeal Brief	
3. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search. (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		•	
4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
6.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
8. For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s)	is as follows (see attached writte	n explanation, if any):	
Claim(s) allowed:		2/_	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:		ALLEN R. MACDONALD	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ALLEN TO PATENT EXAMINE	
Claim(s) vithdrawn from consideration: 9. The proposed drawing correction filed on a 10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement)∏has b)∏ has not been appr	oved by the Examiner:	
0. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
11. Other: See Continuation Sheet			

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The scope of the new claims would require further consideration of prior art (i.e. U.S. Patent # 5,842,185). As discussed with the applicant's representative in the phone conversation on November 22, 1999, the new claims, specifically claim 26, over come the prior art of record. However, the examiner did NOT indicate that the new claim would be allowed, only that the new claim would over come the prior art of record, nor did the Examiner indicate that any after final amendment would be entered. The exmaniner merely acknowledged that the applicant's representative intended to file an amendment.

Continuation of 11. Other:

The Information disclosure statement filed in this application on December 13, 1999 fails to include the petition after Final Rejection required under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d). See 1135 O.G. 13 dated February 4, 1992, which is effective for all information disclosure statements filed after March 16, 1992.

The petition should also be accompanied by the required fee set forth under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(d) and 1.17(i)(1) and a proper certification as specified in 37 C.F.R 1.97(d) and 1.97(e).

The information disclosure statement has been made of record in the application file but will not be considered by the examiner until it complies with 37 C.F.R. 1.97-1.98.